Comment Set C.83: Pastor Mike and Jan Sheridan

---- Forwarded by Marian Kadota/R5/USDAFS on 09/25/2006 08:08 AM -----

Jan Sheridan <janschix@sbcglobal.net>

09/14/2006 05:17PM

To jnoiron@fs.fed.us

Subject Please estend comment period on Draft EIR/EIS

Jody Noiron Angeles National Forest Supervisor Comments on the Draft EIR/EIS

I cannot comprehend how anyone would even consider disrupting the unique warmth and specialness of our little community, to say nothing of the more severe penalty of disruption to certain homes, families and life long investments, in order to bring even a modicum of protection to any other life form. It would have to be some random form of uncaring, disinterested, profiteering preference of a few.

Do those of us facing annihilation care about the environment? Of course we care about the environment and animal life, but there is nothing as sacred or more important than human life and community. We would chop down a thousand trees, with no hesitation to save one life in an emergency, but when no such crisis exists and in the cold calm of planning, lives can be destroyed in preference to environmental concerns, without even a blink, we have to wonder what is behind it. Or who is behind it.

It seems to me I hear the voices of many of those who would fight to the death to "Save the Whales", yet think it their right to kill babies that get in the way of their life style, with nonchalance, after all it is their body, right? (and it should be remembered that they had the right and privilege to prevent those lives from even coming into being in the first place!)

I am just so sick about this proposition I want to scream. What's wrong with those who would even consider us as "Alternative 5"? I think of a dear friend and literally a life long resident of Agua Dulce who has a home in the line of fire. She is severely distressed, and she should not have to endure the fear and anxiety of wondering if she will lose her home. She is not alone. Those that may not lose their homes stand to lose the live they have come to love here.

Please, please, don't do this to our community. Please extend the comment period. We have only just found out about this.

Thank you,

Pastor Mike and Jan Sheridan Agua Dulce Residents since 1971 C.83-2

C.83-3

C.83-1

Response to Comment Set C.83: Pastor Mike and Jan Sheridan

- C.83-1 Please see General Response GR-1 regarding potential effects on property values and General Response GR-2 regarding property acquisition.
- C.83-2 Thank you for submitting your opinion of Alternative 5. Your concerns will be shared with the decision-makers who are reviewing the Project and alternatives at the USDA Forest Service and the CPUC.
- C.83-3 On September 13, the CPUC, as the CEQA Lead Agency, and the USDA Forest Service, as the NEPA Lead Agency, extended the public review period for the Project from 45 days to 60 days, now ending on October 3, 2006. Please see General Response GR-5 regarding noticing procedures and the review period for the Draft EIR/EIS.